

February 1, 2008

**Comment on "Propagator of two coupled
general driven time-dependent oscillators" by
C. F. Lo and Y. J. Wong**

F. Benamira and L. Guechi
Laboratoire de Physique Theorique,
Département de Physique, Faculté des Sciences,
Université Mentouri, Route d'Ain El Bey,
Constantine, Algérie.

Abstract

We comment on several incorrect results given in a recent paper by Lo and Wong. In particular, it is pointed out that their evaluation of the propagator for two coupled general driven time-dependent oscillators is not satisfactory. The correct expression can be obtained by applying an appropriate time-dependent canonical transformation.

PACS 03.65- Quantum theory ; quantum mechanics.

In a recent paper, Lo and Wong¹ (hereafter referred to as LW) have derived by means of a variant of the $su(1,1)$ Lie algebraic approach the propagator associated to a system of two time-dependent coupled and driven harmonic oscillators with time-varying angular frequencies and masses described by the Hamiltonian

$$H(t) = \sum_{j=1}^2 \left[\frac{p_j^2}{2m_j(t)} + \frac{1}{2}m_j(t)\omega_j^2(t)x_j^2 - m_j(t)f_j(t)x_j \right] + \lambda(t)x_1x_2. \quad (1)$$

In this comment, we would like to point out that the relation (3) in LW, referred to as (LW 3) henceforth, is incorrect for time-dependent masses $m_j(t)$ and $\phi \neq \text{Const}$. Applying the time-dependent canonical transformation (TDCT) defined by Eq. (LW 2), the new Hamiltonian has to be $\mathcal{H}(t) = H(t) + \frac{\partial}{\partial t}F_2$, where F_2 is the generating function of the canonical transformation. LW have omitted the term $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}F_2$ in Eq. (LW 3). Therefore, Eqs (LW 5) are not correct and the evaluation of the propagator is not satisfactory. In particular, the Hamiltonian does not decompose into a sum of two uncoupled driven oscillators, except in special cases.

A careful study of the dynamical system with the Hamiltonian (1) has been carried out in our paper². For time-dependent masses the optimal TDCT differs from the one used in LW and reads:

$$\begin{cases} x_1 = \frac{Q_1 \cos \alpha(t) + Q_2 \sin \alpha(t)}{\sqrt{m_1(t)}}, & x_2 = \frac{-Q_1 \sin \alpha(t) + Q_2 \cos \alpha(t)}{\sqrt{m_2(t)}}, \\ p_1 = \sqrt{m_1(t)} (P_1 \cos \alpha(t) + P_2 \sin \alpha(t) + \beta_1(t)x_1), \\ p_2 = \sqrt{m_2(t)} (-P_1 \sin \alpha(t) + P_2 \cos \alpha(t) + \beta_2(t)x_2), \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

where the functions $\alpha(t)$, $\beta_1(t)$ and $\beta_2(t)$ can be conveniently chosen to make separation of variables straightforward possible. When $\alpha(t) \equiv \text{Const}$ and $\beta_j(t) = -\frac{\dot{m}_j(t)}{2\sqrt{m_j(t)}}$, $j = 1, 2$, the new Hamiltonian takes the form:

$$\mathcal{H}(t) = \sum_{j=1}^2 \left[\frac{P_j^2}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\Omega_j^2(t)Q_j^2 - F_j(t)Q_j \right] + \Gamma(t)Q_1Q_2, \quad (3)$$

where the Ω_j 's, F_j 's and Γ are given by similar relations as in LW but with the ω_j^2 's replaced by $\tilde{\omega}_j^2$'s as:

$$\tilde{\omega}_j^2(t) = \left[\omega_j^2(t) + \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{\dot{m}_j^2(t)}{m_j^2(t)} - 2 \frac{\ddot{m}_j(t)}{m_j(t)} \right) \right]; \quad j = 1, 2. \quad (4)$$

A comparison of our relation (3) with relation (LW 3) shows that the canonical transformation can only be parametrized by a constant angle. It is clear that the separation of variables in Eq. (3) requires that $\Gamma(t) \equiv 0$, i.e. $\lambda(t) = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{m_1(t)m_2(t)}(\tilde{\omega}_2^2(t) - \tilde{\omega}_1^2(t))\tan(2\alpha)$, which is a constraint on the parameters of the system.

Following the path integral method described in Ref.², the correct expression of the propagator is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
K(x''_1, x''_2, t''; x'_1, x'_2, t') = & \prod_{j=1}^2 \sqrt{\frac{(m''_j m'_j)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2i\pi\hbar\rho''_j\rho'_j \sin\phi_j(t'', t')}} \exp\left\{-\frac{i}{4\hbar} \frac{m_j(t)}{m_j(t)} x_j^2 \Big|_{t'}^{t''}\right\} \\
& \times \exp\left\{\frac{i}{2\hbar} \left(\frac{\dot{\rho}''_j}{\rho''_j} Q_j'^2 - \frac{\dot{\rho}'_j}{\rho'_j} Q_j'^2\right)\right\} \\
& \times \exp\left\{\frac{i}{2\hbar \sin\phi_j(t'', t')} \left[\left(\frac{Q_j'^2}{\rho_j'^2} + \frac{Q_j'^2}{\rho_j'^2}\right) \cos\phi_j(t'', t')\right.\right. \\
& - \frac{2Q_j''Q'_j}{\rho_j''\rho'_j} + 2\frac{Q_j''}{\rho_j''} \int_{t'}^{t''} G_j(t) \sin\phi_j(t, t')dt \\
& + 2\frac{Q'_j}{\rho'_j} \int_{t'}^{t''} G_j(t) \sin\phi_j(t'', t)dt \\
& \left.\left. - 2 \int_{t'}^{t''} \int_{t'}^t G_j(t)G_j(\tau) \sin\phi_j(t'', t) \sin\phi_j(\tau, t')d\tau dt\right]\right\} \quad (5)
\end{aligned}$$

where $G_j(t) = F_j(t)\rho_j(t)$, $\phi_j(t'', t') = \int_{t'}^{t''} \frac{dt}{\rho_j^2}$ and $\rho_j(t)$ is the solution of the auxiliary equation

$$\ddot{\rho}_j + \Omega_j^2 \rho_j = \frac{1}{\rho_j^3}. \quad (6)$$

References

¹C. F. Lo and Y. J. Wong, Europhys. Lett., **32** (1995) 193.

²F. Benamira and L. Guechi, quant-ph/ 0112003.